Latee Miller,	:	
Plaintiff	:	VERIFIED COMPLAINT
-against-	:	
City of New York, New York City Police Department, Detective Lisandro Rivera, Shield 5877 of the NARCBBX Command and New York City Police Officers John Doe,	:	
Defendants.	: X	
Plaintiff, by and through his attorney, DAYNA COOPER,		for his complaint, alleges upon
information and belief as follows:		

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 1. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff Latee Miller, (hereinafter referred to as "MILLER") is resident of Bronx County, State of New York.
- 2. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, Detective Lisandro Rivera, Shield 5877 of the NARCBBX Command, (hereinafter referred to as "RIVERA") was employed by the New York City Police Department.
- 3. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, New York City Police Officers John Doe, the officers involved in the arrest of MILLER, (hereinafter referred to as "DOES") were employed by the New York City Police Department.
- 4. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant City of New York, is a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with a principal place of business located at 1 Centre Street, County of New York State of New York.

- 5. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant New York City Police Department, is an agency created and maintained by the above municipal government.
- 6. That on or about December 8, 2014 notice required by Municipal Law 50-E was given to City of New York, by personal service. Said notice set forth the facts underlying Plaintiff's claim against the City of New York, and its agents and employees. To date, no answer has been received by Plaintiff and no compensation has been offered by the City of New York.
- 7. On or about February 25, 2015 a hearing required by Municipal Law 50-H was conducted. At said hearing, Plaintiff testified and set forth the facts underlying Plaintiff's claim against the City of New York and its agents and employees. To date, no answer has been received by Plaintiff and no compensation has been offered by the City of New York in response to this claim, and more than thirty (30) days have elapsed.
- 8. That on or about September 6, 2014 at approximately 12:30 AM in the vicinity of East 169 Street and College Avenue, Bronx NY, Plaintiff was eating some food on the sidewalk when RIVERA and DOES stopped him, handcuffed and arrested him.
- 9. That no time did Plaintiff engage in any criminal or unlawful conduct.
- 10. That at no time did Plaintiff possess or exercise custody and control over anything of an illegal or unlawful nature.
- 11. That after Plaintiff was arrested he was transported to the 44 police precinct.
- 12. That when Plaintiff was transported to the 44 police precinct he was placed in a cell with other males for several hours.
- 13. That while at the 44 police precinct, Plaintiff was strip searched and that nothing of an illegal or unlawful nature was found on his person or in his custody.

- 14. That after being held in a cell inside of the police precinct for several hours, Plaintiff was removed to Bronx County Central Booking located at 215 East 161 Street, Bronx, NY.
- 15. That while at Bronx Central Booking, Plaintiff was held in a cell with other males for several hours.
- 16. That while at Bronx Central Booking, Plaintiff was subjected to harassment from the other male prisoners.
- 17. That on or about September 8, 2014, Plaintiff was arraigned on docket 2014BX047749 charging him with Criminal Sale of a Controlled Substance in the Third Degree, Criminal Sale of a Controlled Substance in the Fifth Degree and Criminal Possession of a Controlled Substance in the Seventh Degree.
- 18. That at the time of his arraignment, Plaintiff was released on his own recognizance.
- 19. That on and between September 8, 2014 and March 11, 2015, Plaintiff made several court appearances until his case was dismissed upon application of the Office of the Bronx District Attorney.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS

- 20. That Defendants acted with actual malice toward Plaintiff and with willful and wanton indifference to and deliberate disregard for the statutory and constitutional rights of the Plaintiff.
- 21. That the actions of the Defendants constituted unreasonable deprivation of liberty without due process of law.
- 22. That as a result of the actions by Defendants, Plaintiff was traumatized and fears for her physical safety when she sees and encounter members of the New York City Police Department from the day of his arrest and onward.
- 23. That as a result of the Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been unable to sleep.

- 24. That as a result of the Defendants' actions, Plaintiff missed several days of school.
- 25. That as a result of the Defendants' actions Plaintiff sustained damage to his person in the sum within the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court and in excess of all inferior courts.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS

- Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 throughas if more fully stated herein at length.
- 27. The intentional verbal abuse, false arrest and false imprisonment by Defendants violated the rights of the Plaintiff as guaranteed by the Fourth, Fifth and Eighth Amendments to the Unites States Constitution, as well as the corresponding sections of the New York State Constitution, for which Defendants are individually liable.
- 28. Defendants having no lawful authority to arrest Plaintiff, did, nevertheless, unlawfully arrest Plaintiff with actual malice toward her and with willful and wanton indifference to and deliberate disregard for her constitutional rights. Thus Plaintiff is entitled to both compensatory and exemplary damages.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST DEFENDANTS

- 29. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 28, as if more fully stated herein at length.
- 30. Defendants conspired to violate Plaintiff's statutory civil rights in violation of 42 U.S.C. sec.1983, et al as well as the corresponding sections of the New York State Constitution, for which Defendants are individually liable. Thus Plaintiff is entitled to both compensatory and exemplary damages, as well as attorney's fees.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST DEFENDANTS

- 31. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 30, as if more fully stated herein at length.
- 32. Plaintiff was verbally abused, falsely arrested and falsely imprisoned by Defendants in a manner that was extreme, outrageous and unjustified and caused Plaintiff to suffer physical and severe emotional distress for which the Defendants are individually liable. The verbal abuse, false arrest and false imprisonment on and of Plaintiff by Defendants were unjustified and done with actual malice and wanton indifference to and deliberate disregard for human life and the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is thus entitled to compensatory and exemplary damages.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST CITY OF NEW YORK AND NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT

- 33. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through32, as if more fully stated herein at length.
- 34. At all times pertinent hereto, RIVERA and DOES were acting within the scope of their employment as officers of the New York City Police Department and City of New York.
- 35. The City of New York and New York City Police Department are each liable for compensatory damages under the doctrine of respondeat superior for the intentional torts that the RIVERA and DOES were committed within the scope of their employment.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST CITY OF NEW YORK AND NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT

36. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 35, as if more fully stated herein at length.

37. The City of New York and New York City Police Department's failure to provide adequate training and supervision to RIVERA and DOES constitutes a willful and wanton indifference and deliberate disregard for human life and the rights of private citizens, including Plaintiff. Plaintiff is thus entitled to compensatory exemplary damages.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST DEFENDANTS

- 38. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 37, as if more fully stated herein at length.
- 39. Upon information and belief, the City of New York and New York City Police

 Department maintained a system of review of police conduct which was so untimely and cursory that it was ineffective and permitted and tolerated the unreasonable detention of Plaintiff.
- 40. Upon information and belief, the City of New York and New York City Police

 Department maintained a system of review of police conduct which was so untimely and cursory that it was ineffective and permitted the wanton indifference to and deliberate disregard for the statutory and constitutional rights of Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs each demand judgment against the Defendants as follows:

On the first cause of action, a sum within the jurisdiction of this Court and in excess of all inferior courts:

On the second cause of action, a sum within the jurisdiction of the of this Court and in excess of all inferior courts, together with the additional exemplary sum within the jurisdiction of this court and in excess of all inferior courts owing to the willful and wanton nature of Defendants actions.

On the third cause of action, a sum within the jurisdiction of the of this Court and in excess of all inferior courts together with the additional exemplary sum within the jurisdiction of this court and in excess of all inferior courts owing to the willful and wanton nature of Defendants actions.

On the fourth cause of action, a sum within the jurisdiction of the of this Court and in excess of all inferior courts together with the additional exemplary sum within the jurisdiction of this court and in excess of all inferior courts owing to the wanton and willful nature of the Defendants actions;

On the fifth cause of action, a sum within the jurisdiction of the of this Court and in excess of all inferior courts

On the sixth cause of action, a sum within the jurisdiction of the of this Court and in excess of all inferior courts together with the additional exemplary sum within the jurisdiction of this court and in excess of all inferior courts.

On the seventh cause of action, a sum within the jurisdiction of this Court and in excess of all inferior courts.

Law Offices of Jason A. Steinberger, LLC

Dayna Cooper, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff

505 Eighth Avenue

Suite 701

New York, NY 10018

(516) 835-3859

STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF NEW YORK

The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the State of New York, under penalties of perjury, affirms the following to be true: Affirmant has read the foregoing Complaint and the contents thereof; the same is true to affirmant's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief and as to those matters affirmant believes it to be true; and the reason this verification is made by affirmant and not by the plaintiff is because the plaintiff is not within the county in which your affirmant maintains his principal office. The grounds of affirmant's belief as to all matters not stated upon affirmant's knowledge are communications with the plaintiff, review of records and documents within affirmant's possession.

Affirmed: December 2, 2015

Dayna Cooper, Esq.

Index Number: SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF BRONX			
LATEE MILI	LER,		
	,		
	Plaintiffs		
-against-			
DETECTIVE	LISANDRO RIVER	RK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT POLICE, A, SHIELD 5877 OF THE NARCBBX COMMAND OFFICERS JOHN DOE,	
	Defendant.		
	SUM	IMONS AND COMPLAINT	
	\	DAYNA COOPER, ESQ. 505 Eighth Avenue Suite 701 New York, NY 10018	
To: Attorney (s) for D	pefendant(s)		
Service of a copy	of the within	is hereby admitted.	
Dated:		Attorney(s) for	
PLEASE TAKE	NOTICE		
	that the within is a (certified) true copy of		
□ NOTICE OF ENTRY	entered in the of	ffice of the clerk of the within named Court on	
□ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT	that an Order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the Hon. once of the Judges of the within named Court,		
	on	200 , at m.	
Dated:		DAYNA COOPER, ESQ.	
		505 Eighth Avenue, Suite 701 New York, NY 10018	
To: Attorney(s) for		110W 101R, 111 10010	